

COMPANY LAW BOARD
NEW DELHI BENCH
NEW DELHI

CP NO. 91/MB/2015

CA NO.

PRESENT: CHIEF JUSTICE M. M. KUMAR
CHAIRMAN

ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF NEW DELHI BENCH OF THE
COMPANY LAW BOARD ON 04.02.2016

NAME OF THE COMPANY: **Aneesha Dutt & Anr**

Vs.

M/s. Northbridge Consulting Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 397, 398, 399 rw 402 and 403 of the Companies Act 1956.

| <u>S.NO.</u> | <u>NAME</u> | <u>DESIGNATION</u> | <u>REPRESENTATION</u> | <u>SIGNATURE</u> |
|--------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Santosh Paul | Advocate | Petitioners 1&2 | |
| 2. | Anvind Gupta | " | " | |
| 3. | Raghar Shekhar | " | " | Raghar Shekhar |

1. Savita Singh, Advocate Respondent No.1 Savita

ORDER


Mr. Ashudutt, Respondent No.2 has appeared in person. He claims to appear for Respondent No.1 also. The registry has to satisfy whether he is authorized to appear by respondent No.1.

Ms. Savita Singh, ld. counsel for the respondent has put in claim that she is authorized by R-1 in cases CP No. 91, 94 & 96/MB/2015. Let the reply by the Respondents be filed within six weeks with a copy in advance to the counsel opposite. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within three weeks thereafter with a copy in advance to the counsel opposite.

P.T.O.

However, the interim order dated 17.12.2015 with regard to Respondent No.2 shall continue to operate although there is no restraint on the respondent No.2 to hold himself out as Director of the company involved in CP Nos. 92, 93, 95/MB/2015 only.

List on 18.04.2016 at 10.30 AM.

 _____
[CHIEF JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR]
CHAIRMAN

Date: 04.02.2016
[ravi]