

COMPANY LAW BOARD
PRINCIPAL BENCH
NEW DELHI

CP NO. 16(MB)/2016
CA NO.




PRESENT: CHIEF JUSTICE M. M. KUMAR
CHAIRMAN

ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF PRINCIPAL BENCH OF THE
COMPANY LAW BOARD ON 03.03.2016

NAME OF THE COMPANY: Dr. Bhagwati Prasad
V/s.

M/s. Span Biotronics Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 397/398

<u>S.NO.</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESIGNATION</u>	<u>REPRESENTATION</u>	<u>SIGNATURE</u>
1	KINGSHUK BANERJEE, ADVOCATE 115 WARIA GHANDY & CO.		Respondent No. 3	
2	Mr. P.K. Datta Adv.		} R.1 R.2	
3	Mr. Rakesh Noida Adv.			
4	Mr. RAGHAV GAUTAM Adv.			
5.	Mr. RAHUL MITNIS ADVOCATE			
6.	Mr. SHARUNT SAUMITRA ADVOCATE V/s. M/s. LEGRASIS PARTNERS		} PETITIONERS	

P.T.O.

ORDER

Petition mentioned.


Mr. P.K. Mittal, ld. counsel for Respondent No.1 & 2 requests for some time to file reply. Let the reply be filed within four weeks with a copy in advance to the counsel for the Petitioner. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter with a copy in advance to the counsel opposite.

Mr. Mittal has further offered to deposit a sum of Rs. 10,000,00/- (Rupees Ten lacs only) in order to prove the bonafides of the company which holds 95% of the shares and has further offered to amicably settle the dispute with the petitioner holding 5% share and is a former employee of Respondent No.1 company. Let the amount of Rs. 10 lacs be deposited in the form of Fixed Deposit in the name of Company Law Board within two weeks.

Ld. counsel for Respondent No.3 has stated that no relief has been claimed and Respondent No.3 is being unnecessarily dragged in this matter. Respondent No.3 may file their reply with a copy in advance to the counsel opposite.

List on 08.04.2016 at 2.30 PM.

Date: 03.03.2016
[ravi]


[CHIEF JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR]
CHAIRMAN