NEW DELHI NEW DELHI

CP NO. 40(ND)/2012 CA NO.

PRESENT: CHIEF JUSTICE M. M. KUMAR CHAIRMAN

ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF NEW DELHI BENCH OF THE COMPANY LAW BOARD ON 04.04.2016

NAME OF THE COMPANY:

Sh. Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia & Ors.

Vs.

M/s. Suntime Energy Ltd. & Ors.

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 397/398 of Companies Act 1956.

S.NO. NAME DESIGNATION REPRESENTATION SIGNATURE

MR. ARUN SAKENA (ADV
R. MS. NALINI (ADV
R. & 8 & 9

RANJANA ROY GAWAT

MR. RAJNISH CINHA JADN

G. MR. RAJNISH CINHA JADN

G. MR. ANINDO WAS

MR. ANINDO WAS

ORDER

During the course of arguments, Mr.Rajnish Sinha, ld. counsel for Petitioner No.3 has pointed out that a new bank account was opened in the name of Respondent No.1 company with ICICI Bank. The aforesaid factum has not been seriously disputed although Ms. Ranjana Roy Gawai pleads no knowledge about it. Let the details of the ICICI bank account, if any, be filed in a sealed cover before this Board within two weeks.

MIRW.

P.T.O.

It has also been brought to my notice that some loan was taken by Respondent No.1 company from Canara Bank, East of Kailash, New Delhi by furnishing property No.E-3, Lajpat Nagar-2, New Delhi as a collateral security. Respondent No.2 was the then owner. However, during the loan period there is some change in the ownership of one floor which remains a dispute before the civil court. The matter was referred to arbitration and two appeals against the award of the Arbitrator are still pending before Hon'ble Delhi High Court. Be that as it may, the final adjudication of the present petition would be possible only after the appeals are decided by the Delhi High Court because petitioner No.3 has paid a sum of Rs. One crore sixty three lacs to redeem the property. Certified copies of the documents are permitted to be taken by the parties!

To come up for further consideration with regard to the details of the Bank account of ICICI, list on 05.05.2016 at 10.30 AM.

[CHIEF JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR]
CHAIRMAN

Date: 04.04.2016