

COMPANY LAW BOARD  
NEW DELHI BENCH  
NEW DELHI

CP NO. 05(ND)2016

CA NO.

PRESENT: CHIEF JUSTICE M. M. KUMAR  
CHAIRMAN



ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF NEW DELHI BENCH OF THE  
COMPANY LAW BOARD ON 09.02.2016

NAME OF THE COMPANY: **Sh. Deena Nath Pandy & ors.**

Vs.

**M/s. Saket Surgicals (India) Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.**

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 397, 398 the Companies Act 1956.

S.NO.	NAME	DESIGNATION	REPRESENTATION	SIGNATURE
1.	Rajan Kumar Chourasia	lawyer <sup>9891055902</sup>	Petitioner (9891055902)	
2.	Bishwajit Singh	(advocate)	Respondent 1 & 2	


ORDER

Mr. Bishwajit Singh, ld. counsel for Respondent Nos, 1 & 2 states that Vakalatnama for respondent No.1 & 2 shall be filed during the course of the day.

Ld. counsel for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 requests for some time to file reply. Let reply be filed within four weeks with a copy in advance to the counsel for the Petitioner. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter with a copy in advance to the counsel opposite.

According to the statement made by the ld. counsel for the petitioner, service has already been effected on respondent No. 1, 2, 11 & 12 and despite notice sent to serve rest of the Respondents no service could be effected. The office is directed to serve notice on rest of the respondents. The petitioners shall deposit requisite number of copies of the petition with the office within two days and notice be sent by the office.

List on 01.04.2016 at 10.30 AM.

  
[ CHIEF JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR ]  
CHAIRMAN

Date: 09.02.2016  
[ravi]