

COMPANY LAW BOARD  
NEW DELHI BENCH  
NEW DELHI

CP NO. 134(ND)/2011







CA NO.

PRESENT: CHIEF JUSTICE M. M. KUMAR  
CHAIRMAN

ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF NEW DELHI BENCH OF THE  
COMPANY LAW BOARD ON 09.05.2016

NAME OF THE COMPANY: M/s. KSK Energy Company Pvt. Ltd.  
Vs.  
M/s. Athena Projects Pvt. Ltd. & ors.

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 397/398/235/237/111A r/w 543 and Schedule-XI of  
Companies Act 1956.

S.NO.	NAME	DESIGNATION	REPRESENTATION	SIGNATURE
1.	PANKAJ K. SINGH	ADV.	Respondent - No. 9, 10	
2.	TARUN JAIN	(Adv)	Res. No. 2 & 16	
3.	ANKUR GUPTA	(Adv)	Res. No. 1, 8, 5 13, 14 & 17	
4.	ABHAY ANAND JENA.		Petitioner	
5.	TINE ABRAHAM		RESPONDENT No. 6	
6.	NITISH GUPTA		Respondent No. 7 (The India)	


P.T.O.

### ORDER

Ld. counsel for Respondent No.2 and 16 have requested for some more time to file reply. On behalf of Respondent No.2 it has been stated that the company has been taken over by the State of Sikkim and it has invested huge amount. The share-holding of the State of Sikkim is 51%. Reply has to go through various channels for finalization and therefore, further time is requested. Reply has to be filed by Respondent No.10 also.

Let the reply be filed within four weeks with a copy in advance to the counsel for the petitioner. Rejoinder to the reply has already been filed and rest to be filed within two weeks with a copy in advance to the counsel opposite.

List for further consideration on 14.07.2016 at 10.30 AM.

  
[CHIEF JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR]  
CHAIRMAN

Date: 09.05.2016  
[ravi]