

COMPANY LAW BOARD  
NEW DELHI BENCH  
NEW DELHI

MENTIONING

CP NO. 60/MB/2015

CA NO.

PRESENT: CHIEF JUSTICE M. M. KUMAR  
CHAIRMAN



ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF NEW DELHI BENCH OF THE  
COMPANY LAW BOARD ON 10.05.2016

NAME OF THE COMPANY: **Mr. Mourad Manssi Fahim Saleb and Anr.**

**Vs.**

**M/s. MG Well Solutions Project International Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.**

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 397, 398 the Companies Act 1956.

S.NO.	NAME	DESIGNATION	REPRESENTATION	SIGNATURE
1	PK Bhatia	Ad	Respondent	
2	Mr. Sudhanshu Batra	Sr. Counsel	Respondents 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 &	
3	Ms. Madhavi Nalluri	Counsel	6 R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, & R6	
4	M. K. Tiwari	Ad.	Petitioner	

ORDER

Petition mentioned.

I have heard the learned counsel briefly. Ld. counsel for the respondent states that there is no proposal to sell the fixed assets and the status quo with regard to the directorship of the petitioner shall also be maintained. Learned counsel for the respondents further states that if any Board Meeting is to be convened then one week notice to the petitioner shall be issued although the provision in the Articles of Association is two days.

P.T.O.

Let the reply be filed within four weeks with a copy in advance to the counsel for the petitioner. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter with a copy in advance to the counsel opposite.

List on 18.07.2016 at 10.30 AM.

Date: 10.05.2016  
[ravi]

  
[CHIEF JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR]  
CHAIRMAN

Q11  $\frac{13}{5} \frac{2}{1}$