

COMPANY LAW BOARD
NEW DELHI BENCH
NEW DELHI

CP NO. 03(ND)2016

CA NO. 49/C-1/2016





PRESENT: CHIEF JUSTICE M. M. KUMAR
CHAIRMAN

ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF NEW DELHI BENCH OF THE
COMPANY LAW BOARD ON 16.02.2016

NAME OF THE COMPANY: **Ms. Punita Khatter**
Vs.

M/s. Explore Travels and Tour Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 397, 398 the Companies Act 1956.

| S.NO. | NAME | DESIGNATION | REPRESENTATION | SIGNATURE |
|-------|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|---|
| 1. | VIVEK MALIK | ADVOCATE | RESPONDENT NO.1 |  |
| 2. | MR. NISHANT GAURAV GUPTA | ADVOCATE | PETITIONER |  |
| 3. | MS MAHIMA SINGH | ADVOCATE | PETITIONER |  |
| 4. | NITIN GUPTA | Advocate | Respondents No. 2 to 4 |  |
| 5. | RAKESH KHANNA | Advocate | | |

ORDER

This is an application for alternation of the order passed on the last date of hearing on the ground that arguments as noticed in the order were although advanced but not adhered to. As a matter of fact the order has been recorded as per the arguments advanced. It is a different matter that the arguments advanced on behalf of the petitioner was rejected wherein she has objected to the appearance of the counsel representing respondent No.1 company.

Therefore no ground is made out to grant the prayer made. However, there is one typographic mistake in the order which needs correction. Instead of Article 70, Article 17 has been printed. The aforesaid clerical mistake be corrected and Article 70 be inserted at both place.

There is no merit in the application and the same is hereby dismissed.


(CHIEF JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR)
CHAIRMAN

Dated: 16/02/2016
(vidya)