

COMPANY LAW BOARD  
NEW DELHI BENCH  
NEW DELHI

CP NO. 32/163/MB/2016



CA NO.

PRESENT: CHIEF JUSTICE M. M. KUMAR  
CHAIRMAN

ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF NEW DELHI BENCH OF THE  
COMPANY LAW BOARD ON 15.03.2016

NAME OF THE COMPANY: **Emergic Venture Capital Pvt. Ltd.**  
V/s.  
**M/s. Rajshri Media Pvt. Ltd. & ors.**

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 163 of the Companies Act 1956.

S.NO.	NAME	DESIGNATION	REPRESENTATION	SIGNATURE
1.	RAHUL CHITNIS	ADVOCATE	} RESPONDENT NOS. 1 & 2	
2.	MR. CAURAN KAUL	ADVOCATE		
	i/l. GOLDMOUNT ADVISORS, ADVOCATES			
3.	Tejasvi Shelty (Advocate)		} Petitioner.	
	Monushi Bhargale (Advocate)			
	for			

ORDER

Reply to the petition has been filed by Respondent No. 1 & 2.

Learned counsel for the respondent No.1 & 2 states that they have no objection if the petitioner inspect record in his capacity as a shareholder. Learned counsel for Respondent further states that in para 30 of their reply it is clearly stated by them. It has also been pointed out that all prayer for inspection made in para 3 of the legal notice 'Exhibit' may not be permissible to a shareholder. It is made clear that inspection in respect to all items shall be permitted as per its entitlement in law. In view of the above the petition is disposed of in above terms.

  
(CHIEF JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR)  
CHAIRMAN

Dated: 15/3/2016  
(Vidya)