PRINCIPAL BENCH NEW DELHI

CP NO. 7/2013 CA NO.144 & 145/C-1/2016

PRESENT: CHIEF JUSTICE M. M. KUMAR CHAIRMAN

ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF PRINCIPAL BENCH OF THE COMPANY LAW BOARD ON 21.04.2016

NAME OF THE COMPANY:

Union of India

Vs.

M/s. Information Technology (India) Ltd. & Ors.

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 388B, RW 397/398 RW 401, 402, 406 & 408 of the Companies Act 1956.

S.NO.	NAME	DESIGNATION Comp	REPRESENTATION	SIGNATURE //
1	JASMEET !	SINGH, CGSC	PETITIONER	formal of
2	ASTHA 5	HARHA, ADVOCATE	PETITIONER	U Astre-
3.	Saud A	throad, Foint Di	rector, SF10	7
4.	Deepmala Indorg, Assistant Director, Spio y Addons			
5.	Om Prakach, Assistant Director, SF10 (Ofer (
6.		bh Kalin -)	1034
7.		Agaeval "	Al Responden	it Hardris de
8.	Aisha	eya Michare	1 my	<i>f</i>

PTO

ORDER

CA No. 144(C-1)/2016:

This is an application with a prayer for setting aside order dated 16.03.2016 to the

extent that the Respondent No.19 has been proceeded ex parte. The basis of the

prayer is that the counsel representing Respondent No.19 could not appear before

this Board on account of erroneous date noted by him. According to the averments

made in para 6 and 7 the date of hearing noted in the diary is 04.04.2016 whereas

the matter was actually listed on 16.03.2016.

Ld. counsel for the non-applicant-petitioner has not raised any serious objection.

Even otherwise, I am of the view that adequate opportunity should be granted to the

applicant in a matter which is quasi criminal (388B). Otherwise his rights could be

prejudiced.

In view of the above, the application is allowed. Ex-parte order dated 16.03.2016

against and to the extent of Respondent No.19 is recalled. Respondent No.19 may

file reply on or before 11.05.2016. The application is disposed of.

CA No. 145(C-1)/2016:

None appeared for the applicant. In the interest of justice, renotify the same on

11.05.2016.

List on 11.05.2016 at 2.30 PM.

[CHIEF JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR]

Date: 21.04.2016

[ravi]