

COMPANY LAW BOARD  
NEW DELHI BENCH  
NEW DELHI

CP NO. 2(ND)/2011




CA NO.

PRESENT: CHIEF JUSTICE M. M. KUMAR  
CHAIRMAN

ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF NEW DELHI BENCH OF THE  
COMPANY LAW BOARD ON 26.02.2016

NAME OF THE COMPANY: M/S. ROCKMAN PROJECT LTD.

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 397/398, 402, 406, 111A, 403, 235 & 237

| S.NO. | NAME            | DESIGNATION | REPRESENTATION | SIGNATURE   |
|-------|-----------------|-------------|----------------|---|
| ①     | Sakal Bhushan   | Advocate    | Petitioner     |  |
| ②     | RISHI MANCHANDA | ADV         | Petitioner     |  |
| ③     | TANUJ AHRAVAL   | ADVOCATE    | RESPONDENT     |  |

ORDER

Mr. Sakal Bhushan, learned counsel for the petitioner has narrated the entire history of this case highlighting dilatory tactics adopted by the respondent by changing counsel and obtaining adjournment.

A proxy counsel on behalf of the main counsel Shri Varun Gupta has requested for adjournment. It is made clear that in view of the unfortunate situation created by the respondent no further adjournment would be granted at the request of the respondents on account of change of counsel.

At this stage learned counsel for the petitioner has brought to my notice that Shri Devinder Kumar Jain, petitioner No.1 has unfortunately expired on 13.3.2015 during the pendency of the petition. A copy of the death certificate issued by Apollo Hospital has also been placed on record. The cause of action however survives and petitioner No.2 who is wife of Petitioner No.1 can prosecute this petition being legal representative of her husband.

List for argument on 29.3.2016 at 10.30 am.

  
(CHIEF JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR)  
CHAIRMAN

Dated: 26/02/2016  
(Vidya Shastri)