

MENTIONING

COMPANY LAW BOARD
NEW DELHI BENCH
NEW DELHI

CP NO. 101(ND)/2014

CA NO. 104/C-1/2016

PRESENT: CHIEF JUSTICE M. M. KUMAR
CHAIRMAN

ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF NEW DELHI BENCH OF THE
COMPANY LAW BOARD ON 22.03.2016

NAME OF THE COMPANY: **Sh. Jasmohan Singh**
Vs.
M/s. M.S. Koldhold Industries Ltd. & Ors.

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 397 & 398 rw 402 and 403 of the Companies Act 1956.

<u>S.NO.</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESIGNATION</u>	<u>REPRESENTATION</u>	<u>SIGNATURE</u>
1.	Sowahn Gupta	} Adv	Petitioner	}
2.	Punjab Yadav			
3.	NN Sarwaria	Adv	R-3	} }
4.	Perwinder	Adv	R-2	

ORDER

Application CA No.104(C-1)/2016 cannot be taken up at this stage in the face of the direction issued by the Hon'ble Delhi High Court.


In para 10 (i) and (ii) in its order the Hon'ble High Court has observed that the petitioner herein is entitled to inspect the statutory records of the companies namely FKIPL and KIPL. After the inspection of the records the information so derived has to be placed before this Board. It is thereafter that the Board has to

P.T.O.

ascertain as to the manner and the forum before which the petitioner herein wish to use the information. The Board on receipt of such information, if inclined to injunct the petitioner from using that information, it would first deal with his submission that it would have no jurisdiction to prevent him from using the information before a forum which is not subordinate to the Board.

Ld counsel for the petitioner states that the inspection has been carried out and proper affidavit is yet to be filed. Accordingly a request has been made for filing the affidavit with a copy to the counsel for the Respondent. Let the affidavit be filed within 10 days with a copy in advance to the counsel opposite.

List for further consideration on 18.04.2016 at 10.30 AM.


[CHIEF JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR]
CHAIRMAN

Date: 22.03.2016
[ravi]