

COMPANY LAW BOARD
NEW DELHI BENCH
NEW DELHI

C. P. NO. 113(ND)11
CA. NO.

PRESENT: B.S.V. PRAKASH KUMAR,
HON'BLE MEMBER

**ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF NEW DELHI BENCH OF
THE COMPANY LAW BOARD ON 02.05.2016 AT 02.00 P.M**

**NAME OF THE COMPANY: M/s Narinder Kumar Bawa V/s. M/s. Prakash Communication
Ltd. & Ors.**

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 397/398

S.NO.	NAME	DESIGNATION	REPRESENTATION	SIGNATURE
1.	Annuell Bawa	S/O Varinder Bawa (K-2)		Annuell Bawa
2.	Ms Preeti Marwaha			
3.	Narinder Bawa	Petitioner.		

Order

R2 keeps sending letters to take adjournment saying that he has undergone surgery, but whereas he keeps on filing applications under RTI Act putting various queries to this Bench which are not covered by RTI Act. Moreover, he is a party to the proceeding, in case he needs any document from court record, he is at liberty to take the same. But instead of doing this, he keeps on sending applications under RTI Act and appeal thereof causing the administration side to make extra work over these applications coming under RTI Act.

Contd/-.....

2. In this case a valuer was appointed on the consent given by both sides. Now, R2, to retract from consent given by him, started filing applications under RTI Act, making it as if this Bench did a great mistake in appointing a valuer on the consent given by advocates on either side.

3. However, since there is no application from the petitioner side asking further reliefs, the petitioner is at liberty to file an application seeking appropriate relief in the situation existing.

List the matter on 01.06.2016 at 2.00 p.m.


(B.S.V. PRAKASH KUMAR)
Member (Judicial)