

COMPANY LAW BOARD
NEW DELHI BENCH
NEW DELHI



C. P. NO. 81(MP)15
CA. NO.

PRESENT: B.S.V. PRAKASH KUMAR,
HON'BLE MEMBER

**ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF NEW DELHI BENCH OF
THE COMPANY LAW BOARD ON 11.04.2016 AT 02.30 P.M**

**NAME OF THE COMPANY: M/s. Radheshyam Mandwani & Anr. V/s. M/s. Santosh Devcon
Pvt. Ltd. & ors.**

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 397/398

S.NO.	NAME	DESIGNATION	REPRESENTATION	SIGNATURE
1	Ramesh Sharma	Adv. For Petitioners		
2	Mukesh Matta		P- 2	Mukesh Matta
3.	Mr. thameemala	Adv	Respondents	
4.	Siddharth Sharma	Adv	Respondents	<u>Siddharth</u>

Order

Normally, Bombay matters are taken up only when some urgent reliefs are sought. In the present case, when the petitioner moved this CP on 05.11.2015, an elaborate order was passed to maintain status quo over the fixed assets of the company, board pattern, shareholders structure of the company, pending disposal of this case with a direction that the parties shall complete their pleadings and to mention this matter only when any urgency arises.

 Contd/-.....

2. But whereas, this petitioner again mentioned this Company Petition seeking restoration of directors though, said relief was not granted when matter was initially mentioned before this Bench. However, respondents were peremptorily directed to file reply to the main petition, but till date, it appears that the respondents have not filed reply to the main petition. Instead of filing their reply, these respondents have now filed three applications for different purposes.

3. It is pertinent to mention that this Bench is already over loaded with the work of Delhi, CLB. Keeping this fact in mind, this Bench had passed interim orders and posted this matter to complete pleadings and not to mention this matter before this Bench, unless there is an urgency, but the respondents, instead of completing pleadings in the main petition, they have filed three applications for different purposes.

4. Since the respondents have till date not filed reply, the time for filing reply has been extended for two more weeks by imposing costs of Rs.5,000/- payable to CLB, Library fund, New Delhi, rejoinder, if any, within two weeks thereof, reiterating that the parties may mention this matter before CLB, Bombay as and when presiding officer is posted there.

Sdt

(B.S.V. PRAKASH KUMAR)
Member (Judicial)